

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] H. Yu, "The application and challenges of ChatGPT in educational transformation: New demands for teachers' roles," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. e24289, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24289.
- [2] A. D. Samala *et al.*, *Unveiling the landscape of generative artificial intelligence in education: a comprehensive taxonomy of applications, challenges, and future prospects*, no. 0123456789. Springer US, 2024. doi: 10.1007/s10639-024-12936-0.
- [3] F. Duarte, "Number of ChatGPT Users (July 2025)," Exploding Topics. Accessed: Aug. 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://explodingtopics.com/blog/chatgpt-users>
- [4] W. Zhu *et al.*, "Could AI Ethical Anxiety, Perceived Ethical Risks and Ethical Awareness About AI Influence University Students' Use of Generative AI Products? An Ethical Perspective," *Int J Hum Comput Interact*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–23, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2024.2323277.
- [5] M. I. Baig and E. Yadegaridehkordi, "ChatGPT in the higher education: A systematic literature review and research challenges," *Int J Educ Res*, vol. 127, no. March, p. 102411, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ijer.2024.102411.
- [6] and and E. L.-M. Marta Montenegro-Rueda, José Fernández-Cerero, José María Fernández-Batanero, "Impact of the Implementation of ChatGPT in Education : A," *Department of Teaching and Educational Organization*, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [7] S. G. C. Bahadur, P. Bhandari, S. K. Gurung, E. Srivastava, D. Ojha, and B. R. Dhungana, "Examining the role of social influence, learning value and habit on students' intention to use ChatGPT: the moderating effect of information accuracy in the UTAUT2 model," *Cogent Education*, vol. 11, no. 1, p., 2024, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2024.2403287.
- [8] C. Y. Lai, K. Y. Cheung, C. S. Chan, and K. K. Law, "Integrating the adapted UTAUT model with moral obligation, trust and perceived risk to predict ChatGPT adoption for assessment support: A survey with students," *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 6, no. October 2023, p. 100246, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100246.
- [9] W. L. Hsu and A. D. K. Silalahi, "Exploring the paradoxical use of ChatGPT in education: Analyzing benefits, risks, and coping strategies through integrated UTAUT and PMT theories using a hybrid approach of SEM and fsQCA,"

- Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, no. October, p. 100329, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100329.
- [10] X. Wu, R. Duan, and J. Ni, “Unveiling security , privacy , and ethical concerns of ChatGPT,” *Journal of Information and Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 102–115, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jiixd.2023.10.007.
- [11] Shidarta and I. Martinelli, “Should Indonesia Block ChatGPT?,” *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 426, pp. 1–5, 2023, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202342602046.
- [12] J. Chung and H. Y. Kwon, “Privacy fatigue and its effects on ChatGPT acceptance among undergraduate students: is privacy dead?,” *Educ Inf Technol (Dordr)*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10639-024-13198-6.
- [13] F. D. D. Viswanath Venkatesh, Michael G. Morris, Gordon B. Davis, “User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View,” *Management Information Systems Research Center*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 425–478, 2003, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2016.03.015.
- [14] S. Balaskas, V. Tsiantos, S. Chatzifotiou, and M. Rigou, “Determinants of ChatGPT Adoption Intention in Higher Education: Expanding on TAM with the Mediating Roles of Trust and Risk,” *Information (Switzerland)*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 1–28, 2025, doi: 10.3390/info16020082.
- [15] Y. Shaengchart, N. Bhumpenpein, K. Kongnakorn, P. Khwannu, A. Tiwtakul, and S. Detmee, “Factors Influencing the Acceptance of ChatGPT Usage Among Higher Education Students in Bangkok, Thailand,” *Advance Knowledge for Executives*, vol. 2.4, no. 28, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [16] X. Tian, L. Chen, and X. Zhang, “The Role of Privacy Fatigue in Privacy Paradox: A PSM and Heterogeneity Analysis,” *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12199702.
- [17] M. Sallam *et al.*, “Assessing Health Students’ Attitudes and Usage of ChatGPT in Jordan: Validation Study,” *JMIR Med Educ*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2023, doi: 10.2196/48254.
- [18] H. Jo, “From concerns to benefits: a comprehensive study of ChatGPT usage in education,” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s41239-024-00471-4.
- [19] R. Raman, S. Mandal, P. Das, T. Kaur, J. P. Sanjanasri, and P. Nedungadi, “Exploring University Students’ Adoption of ChatGPT Using the Diffusion of

- Innovation Theory and Sentiment Analysis with Gender Dimension,” *Hum Behav Emerg Technol*, vol. 2024, no. November 2022, 2024, doi: 10.1155/2024/3085910.
- [20] V. Taecharungroj, “‘What Can ChatGPT Do?’ Analyzing Early Reactions to the Innovative AI Chatbot on Twitter,” *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.3390/bdcc7010035.
- [21] W. Wang, Q. Wu, D. Li, and X. Tian, “An exploration of the influencing factors of privacy fatigue among mobile social media users from the configuration perspective,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-84646-z.
- [22] D. Marikyan and S. Papagiannidis, “Protection motivation theory: A review,” *TheoryHub Book: This handbook is based on the online theory resource: TheoryHub*, pp. 78–93, 2023.
- [23] M. A. Al-Sharafi *et al.*, “Generation Z use of artificial intelligence products and its impact on environmental sustainability: A cross-cultural comparison,” *Comput Human Behav*, vol. 143, no. March, p. 107708, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2023.107708.
- [24] W. Li, “A Study on Factors Influencing Designers’ Behavioral Intention in Using AI-Generated Content for Assisted Design: Perceived Anxiety, Perceived Risk, and UTAUT,” *Int J Hum Comput Interact*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 1064–1077, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2024.2310354.
- [25] A. Habibi, A. Mukminin, A. Octavia, S. Wahyuni, B. K. Danibao, and Y. G. Wibowo, “ChatGPT acceptance and use through UTAUT and TPB: A big survey in five Indonesian Universities,” *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, vol. 10, no. September, p. 101136, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101136.
- [26] T. Budhathoki, A. Zirar, E. T. Njoya, and A. Timsina, “ChatGPT adoption and anxiety: a cross-country analysis utilising the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT),” *Studies in Higher Education*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 831–846, 2024, doi: 10.1080/03075079.2024.2333937.
- [27] B. Foroughi *et al.*, “Determinants of Intention to Use ChatGPT for Educational Purposes: Findings from PLS-SEM and fsQCA,” *Int J Hum Comput Interact*, vol. 40, no. 17, pp. 4501–4520, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2023.2226495.
- [28] Helmiatin, A. Hidayat, and M. R. Kahar, “Investigating the adoption of AI in higher education: a study of public universities in Indonesia,” *Cogent Education*, vol. 11, no. 1, p., 2024, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2024.2380175.

- [29] A. Strzelecki and S. ElArabawy, "Investigation of the moderation effect of gender and study level on the acceptance and use of generative AI by higher education students: Comparative evidence from Poland and Egypt," *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1209–1230, 2024, doi: 10.1111/bjet.13425.
- [30] I. A. Elshaer, A. M. Hasanein, and A. E. E. Sobaih, "The Moderating Effects of Gender and Study Discipline in the Relationship between University Students' Acceptance and Use of ChatGPT," *Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 1981–1995, 2024, doi: 10.3390/ejihpe14070132.
- [31] H. Choi, J. Park, and Y. Jung, "The role of privacy fatigue in online privacy behavior," *Comput Human Behav*, vol. 81, pp. 42–51, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.12.001.
- [32] D. Menon and K. Shilpa, "'Chatting with ChatGPT': Analyzing the factors influencing users' intention to Use the Open AI's ChatGPT using the UTAUT model," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. e20962, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20962.
- [33] Sugiyono, *Metodologi penelitian kuantitatif kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta, Bandung, 2011.
- [34] R. L. Sapra, "How to Calculate an Adequate Sample Size? BT - How to Practice Academic Medicine and Publish from Developing Countries? A Practical Guide," S. Nundy, A. Kakar, and Z. A. Bhutta, Eds., Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2022, pp. 81–93. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-5248-6_9.
- [35] P. Mishra, C. M. Pandey, U. Singh, A. Gupta, C. Sahu, and A. Keshri, "Descriptive statistics and normality tests for statistical data," *Ann Card Anaesth*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 2019, doi: 10.4103/aca.ACA_157_18.
- [36] N. Kock and G. S. Lynn, "Lateral collinearity and misleading results in variance-based SEM: An illustration and recommendations," *J Assoc Inf Syst*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 546–580, 2012, doi: 10.17705/1jais.00302.
- [37] J. F. Hair, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, "PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 139–152, 2011, doi: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202.
- [38] J. F. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. SAGE Publications, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=6z83EAAAQBAJ>

- [39] J. Henseler, “PLS-MGA: A Non-Parametric Approach to Partial Least Squares-based Multi-Group Analysis BT - Challenges at the Interface of Data Analysis, Computer Science, and Optimization,” W. A. Gaul, A. Geyer-Schulz, L. Schmidt-Thieme, and J. Kunze, Eds., Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 495–501. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-24466-7_50.
- [40] P. M. Podsakoff, S. B. MacKenzie, J. Y. Lee, and N. P. Podsakoff, “Common Method Biases in Behavioral Research: A Critical Review of the Literature and Recommended Remedies,” *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 88, no. 5, pp. 879–903, 2003, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879.

